

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	THE PARTY	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.			Ludo Adriaensen	016782-0230	6512	
09/857,600	00 09/10/2001		Ludo Adriaensen			_
22428	7590	10/07/2003		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AN		IER	GRAY, JILL M		13	
SUITE 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3000 K STRE WASHINGTO	ET NW ON, DC 2	20007		1774		
,				DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>\$</u>			<u>#- 2</u>	l
i		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/857,600	ADRIAENSEN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jill M. Gray	1774	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address	
Period fo	r Reply	TO EVEIDE 2 MON	TH(S) FROM	
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- eply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to the statutory minimum of thirty (30 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	oe timely filed  ) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	4 July 2003 .		
2a)□		This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal matters fer Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims	·		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application	ation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		١
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 13-24 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.		
	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	Eveniner	
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by the	2 See 37 CFR 1 85(a)	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to The proposed drawing correction filed on	to the drawing(s) be neld in abeyond to the crawing in a payon to the disa	approved by the Examiner.	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	n roply to this Office action		
· • > □	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, Examinor.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	roign priority under 35 H.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reight phonty under 55 5.5.5.		
a	) All b) Some * c) None of:	nants have been received		
	<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li></ul>	nents have been received in Apr	olication No.	
		priority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage	
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PC   Rule   7.2(a)).		
141	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).	
	<ul> <li>a)                The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor</li> </ul>	e provisional application has bee	en received.	
Attachme		,		
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of Inf	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/857,600

Art Unit: 1774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takazawa et al, 4,774,105 (Takazawa) in view of Kotera et al, 4,340,519 (Kotera) and Creps 4,358,887, for reasons of record.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 14, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' argue that Takazawa is completely silent in regard to a polyester layer immediately on a bright looking surface and that Creps does not disclose the just claimed structure but teaches away from it.

In this regard, it is noted that Takazawa teaches the same structure contemplated by applicants in claim 13, namely, a steel core covered with an intermediate coating layer, and having immediately upon said intermediate coating, a polymer, said polymer being an polyester. See column 2, lines 15-27. Creps is relied upon for all that he would have reasonable imparted to one of ordinary skill in the art, namely, a steel core that is covered with an intermediate layer and immediately thereupon with a polyester polymer, that the intermediate layer can be zinc and applied

Application/Control Number: 09/857,600

Art Unit: 1774

by the hot-dip method, and wet drawing. Thus, it would appear that the teachings of Creps would have provided clear direction to the skilled artisan for the production of steel wires of the type contemplated by applicants.

Applicants argue that claim 13 has been amended to recite that the covered steel core is drawn to obtain a bright looking surface and that Creps and Kotera are silent in regard to drawing.

In this concern, it is the examiner's position that this limitation is a process limitation whereas claim 13 is drawn to a product, and patentability is based upon the product itself, not the process of making. Moreover, Creps teaches wet drawing, again, providing clear direction to the skilled artisan.

Therefore, the examiner's position remains that when considered as a whole, the combined teachings of Takazawa, Kotera and Creps would have rendered obvious the invention as claimed in the present claims.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M. Gray whose telephone number is 703.308.2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 703.308.0449. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,600

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0651.

ill Mr. Gray xaminer

Art Unit 1774

jmg